

REMARKS

Please reconsider the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Request for Examiner Interview

Applicants respectfully request an Examiner Interview to discuss the referenced application at a date and time convenient for all parties. An Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form is attached to this reply.

Disposition of Claims

Claims 62-78 were pending in the referenced application. Claims 62-78 have been cancelled by this reply without prejudice or disclaimer. New claims 79-98 have been added by this reply. Claim 79 is independent. The remaining claims depend, directly or indirectly, from independent claim 79.

Claim Amendments

New claims 79-98 have been added by this reply. Support for the new claims may be found, for example, on pages 41-47 of the application as filed. No new matter has been added by any of the aforementioned amendments.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 75 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,486,895 (“Robertson”) in view of U.S. Patent 6,081,829 (“Sidana”) and the reference entitled “Laura Lemay’s Web Workshop JavaScript” (“Lemay”). Claim 75 has been cancelled by this reply. Thus, this rejection is now moot and, accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 76-78 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, Sidana, Lemay, and U.S. Patent 6,233,620 (“Gish”). Claims 76-78 has been cancelled by this reply. Thus, this rejection is now moot and, accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 62-74 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, Sidana, Lemay, Gish, U.S. Patent 6,237,006 (“Weinberg”), and U.S. Patent 5,924,108 (“Fein”). Claims 62-74 has been cancelled by this reply. Thus, this rejection is now moot and, accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

New Claims

New claims 79-98 have been added by this reply. Applicants respectfully assert that the cited references, whether considered separately or in combination, fail to teach or disclose all the limitations recited in new claims 79-98. Accordingly, favorable action in the form of a Notice of Allowability is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Applicants believe this reply is fully responsive to all outstanding issues and places this application in condition for allowance. If this belief is incorrect, or other issues arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned or his associates at the telephone number listed below. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 50-0591 (Reference Number 17363/002001).

Dated: May 18, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Jonathan P. Osha
Registration No.: 33,986
OSHA · LIANG LLP
1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800
Houston, Texas 77010
(713) 228-8600
(713) 228-8778 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant

Attachment (Applicant Initiated Interview Form)

237137_1